Case 5:07-cr-00289-RMW Document 9

Filed 09/27/2007

Page 1 of 2

economy, the government contends that the two matters should be related before this Court.

Specifically, these cases are appropriate to relate because they appear likely to entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different Judges. For example, while each case involves a separate drug conspiracy—the first involving ecstasy (MDMA) and the latter, cocaine base ("crack cocaine"), both cases arise out of the same wire interception investigation. As such, discovery and foundational information, at a minimum, will be the same. Moreover, any legal challenges to the wire interception Order(s) will also likely be predicated on similar evidence and arguments. Finally, both matters have a defendant in common – Huy Ngo.

The United States contends that the two matters should be related in the interests of judicial economy as well as the fact that both involve a common defendant.

DATED: September 27, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

SCOTT N. SCHOOLS United States Attorney

/s/

SUSAN R. JERICH Assistant United States Attorney

> NOTICE OF RELATED CASES CR07-289 RMW CR07-290 JF